

Responsibilities

By signing the Authorship Statement, provided with the manuscript, authors guarantee that the manuscript represents their original contribution, that the copyright and intellectual property of other persons has not been violated, that the manuscript has not been published earlier and that it has not been considered for publishing elsewhere. Violating any of the above prerequisites is considered a violation of ethical standards, which makes the manuscript excluded from further consideration for publication in the journal.

Authors are exclusively responsible for the contents of their submissions. Authors affirm that the article contains no unfounded or unlawful statements and does not violate the rights of third parties. Authors are obliged to adhere to ethical standards related to scientific research.

Authors must make sure that the author team listed in the manuscript includes all and only those authors who have significantly contributed to the submitted manuscript. If persons other than authors were involved in important aspects of the research project and the preparation of the manuscript, their contribution should be acknowledged in a footnote or the Acknowledgments section.

It is the responsibility of the authors to specify the title and code label of the research project within which the work was created, as well as the full title of the funding institution.

In case a submitted manuscript has been presented at a conference in the form of an oral presentation (under the same or similar title), detailed information about the conference shall be provided in the same place.

Authors are required to properly cite sources that have significantly influenced their research and their manuscript. Parts of the manuscript, including text, equations, pictures and tables that are taken verbatim from other works must be marked, e.g. by quotation marks accompanied by their location in the original document (page number) or, if more extensive, given in a separate paragraph.

Full references of each quotation (in-text citation) must be listed in the separate section (References) uniformly, according to the citation style used by the journal. References section should list only quoted/cited, and not all sources used for the preparation of a manuscript.

When preparing a manuscript, authors are obliged to avoid formulations that could reveal their identity, for example, recognizable auto-references, such as: "In our previous work ...", "Our previous research...."

In the case of the manuscript revision, the authors are obliged to inform the Editorial Board of the changes they made in the text in written form, stating the page number of the change and explanation of the change. In the revised manuscript, the authors are obliged to clearly indicate the changes made in accordance with the suggestions of the reviewers.

When authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the Editor-in-Chief (or publisher) and cooperate with him/her to retract or correct the paper.

Authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might have influenced the presented results or their interpretation.

By submitting a manuscript the authors agree to abide by the Editorial Policies of Studies in Teaching and Education.

Editorial responsibilities

The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the journal will be published. The decisions are made based exclusively on the manuscript's merit. They must be free from any racial, gender, sexual, religious, ethnic, or political bias. When making decisions the Editor-in-Chief is also guided by the editorial policy and legal provisions relating to defamation, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

Members of the Editorial Board including the Editor-in-Chief must hold no conflict of interest with regard to the articles they consider for publication. Members who feel they might be perceived as being involved in such a conflict do not participate in the decision process for a particular manuscript.

The information and ideas presented in submitted manuscripts shall be kept confidential. Information and ideas contained in unpublished materials must not be used for personal gain without the written consent of the authors.

The Editorial Board guarantees to, before sending the manuscript to the review, remove the personal data of the authors (name and affiliation). Editors and the Editorial Board shall take all reasonable measures to ensure that the authors/reviewers remain anonymous during and after the evaluation process in accordance with the type of reviewing in use.

The choice of reviewers falls under the discretion of the Editorial Board.

Editors and Editorial Board of the journal are obliged to: provide professional support in the development of the journal, participate in the promotion of journal and encourage experts from relevant areas to contribute to the quality of the journal as authors and / or reviewers, provide suggestions for reviewers for incoming manuscripts and participate in the review of works.

Reviewers' responsibilities

Reviewers are required to provide the qualified and timely assessment of the scholarly merits of the manuscript. The reviewer takes special care of the real contribution and originality of the manuscript. The review must be fully objective. The judgment of the reviewers must be clear and substantiated by arguments. Comments that insult the personality and work of the author are considered inappropriate.

The reviewers assess manuscript for the compliance with the profile of the journal, the relevance of the investigated topic and applied methods, the scientific relevance of information presented in the manuscript, the presentation style and scholarly apparatus. The review has a standard format which includes ratings of separate dimensions of manuscript, a written explanation of given ratings and suggestions for authors, and the final recommendation for editors (publish, publish with minor corrections, major corrections and re-reviews are needed, do not publish), as well as comments on the manuscript sent to the Editorial Board.

The reviewer must not be in a conflict of interest with the authors or funders of research. If such a conflict exists, the reviewer is obliged to promptly notify the Editorial Board. The reviewer shall not accept for reviewing papers beyond the field of his/her full competence.

Reviewers should alert the Editorial Board to any well-founded suspicions or the knowledge of possible violations of ethical standards by the authors. Reviewers should recognize relevant published works that have not been considered in the manuscript. They may recommend specific references for citation, but shall not require to cite papers published in *Studies in Teaching and Education*, or their own papers, unless it is justified. The reviewer should warn the Editorial Board if he sees the important coincidence between the manuscript being discussed and other published works, or if he has the knowledge that the same manuscript is in the review procedure in another magazine.

The reviewers are expected to improve the quality of the manuscript through their suggestions. If they recommend correction of the manuscript prior to publication, they are obliged to specify the manner in which this can be achieved.

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Reviewers must not use unpublished materials disclosed in submitted manuscripts without the express written consent of the authors.